

# Algebraic differential equations from covering maps

Thomas Scanlon

UC Berkeley

12 April 2014

# The logarithmic derivative

The exponential function  $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  has a many-valued analytic inverse  $\log : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  where  $\log$  is well-defined only up to the adding an element of  $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Treating  $\exp$  and  $\log$  as functions on functions does not help: If  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is analytic, then we deduce a “function”  $\log(f) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

However, because  $\log(f)$  is well-defined up to an additive constant,  $\partial \log(f) := \frac{d}{dz}(\log(f))$  is a well defined function. That is, for  $M = \mathcal{M}(U)$  the differential field of meromorphic functions we have a well-defined differential-analytic function  $\partial \log : \mathbb{G}_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(M)$ .

Of course, one computes that  $\partial \log(f) = \frac{f'}{f}$  is, in fact, differential algebraic.

# The logarithmic derivative

The exponential function  $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  has a many-valued analytic inverse  $\log : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  where  $\log$  is well-defined only up to the adding an element of  $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Treating  $\exp$  and  $\log$  as functions on functions does not help: If  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is analytic, then we deduce a “function”  $\log(f) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

However, because  $\log(f)$  is well-defined up to an additive constant,  $\partial \log(f) := \frac{d}{dz}(\log(f))$  is a well defined function. That is, for  $M = \mathcal{M}(U)$  the differential field of meromorphic functions we have a well-defined differential-analytic function  $\partial \log : \mathbb{G}_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(M)$ .

Of course, one computes that  $\partial \log(f) = \frac{f'}{f}$  is, in fact, differential algebraic.

# The logarithmic derivative

The exponential function  $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  has a many-valued analytic inverse  $\log : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  where  $\log$  is well-defined only up to the adding an element of  $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Treating  $\exp$  and  $\log$  as functions on functions does not help: If  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is analytic, then we deduce a “function”  $\log(f) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

However, because  $\log(f)$  is well-defined up to an additive constant,  $\partial \log(f) := \frac{d}{dz}(\log(f))$  is a well defined function. That is, for  $M = \mathcal{M}(U)$  the differential field of meromorphic functions we have a well-defined differential-analytic function  $\partial \log : \mathbb{G}_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(M)$ .

Of course, one computes that  $\partial \log(f) = \frac{f'}{f}$  is, in fact, differential algebraic.

# The logarithmic derivative

The exponential function  $\exp : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  has a many-valued analytic inverse  $\log : \mathbb{C}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  where  $\log$  is well-defined only up to the adding an element of  $2\pi i\mathbb{Z}$ .

Treating  $\exp$  and  $\log$  as functions on functions does not help: If  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  is analytic, then we deduce a “function”  $\log(f) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

However, because  $\log(f)$  is well-defined up to an additive constant,  $\partial \log(f) := \frac{d}{dz}(\log(f))$  is a well defined function. That is, for  $M = \mathcal{M}(U)$  the differential field of meromorphic functions we have a well-defined differential-analytic function  $\partial \log : \mathbb{G}_m(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a(M)$ .

Of course, one computes that  $\partial \log(f) = \frac{f'}{f}$  is, in fact, differential algebraic.

If  $M$  is a differential field with field of constants  $C$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $C$ , then

- we have a map of groups  $\nabla : G(M) \rightarrow TG(M)$  given in coordinates by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n; \partial(x_1), \dots, \partial(x_n))$ ,
- the tangent bundle splits as  $TG = G \ltimes T_e G$  (where  $T_e G$  is the tangent space to  $G$  at the identity) via  $(g, v) \mapsto (g, d(g^{-1} \cdot)v)$ , and
- the map  $\partial \log_G : G(M) \rightarrow T_e G(M)$  given by sending  $g$  to the  $T_e G$ -component of  $\nabla(g)$  via the splitting is differential algebraic and its fibres are torsors for  $G(C)$ .

If  $M$  is a differential field with field of constants  $C$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $C$ , then

- we have a map of groups  $\nabla : G(M) \rightarrow TG(M)$  given in coordinates by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n; \partial(x_1), \dots, \partial(x_n))$ ,
- the tangent bundle splits as  $TG = G \ltimes T_e G$  (where  $T_e G$  is the tangent space to  $G$  at the identity) via  $(g, v) \mapsto (g, d(g^{-1} \cdot)v)$ , and
- the map  $\partial \log_G : G(M) \rightarrow T_e G(M)$  given by sending  $g$  to the  $T_e G$ -component of  $\nabla(g)$  via the splitting is differential algebraic and its fibres are torsors for  $G(C)$ .

If  $M$  is a differential field with field of constants  $C$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $C$ , then

- we have a map of groups  $\nabla : G(M) \rightarrow TG(M)$  given in coordinates by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n; \partial(x_1), \dots, \partial(x_n))$ ,
- the tangent bundle splits as  $TG = G \ltimes T_e G$  (where  $T_e G$  is the tangent space to  $G$  at the identity) via  $(g, v) \mapsto (g, d(g^{-1} \cdot)v)$ , and
- the map  $\partial \log_G : G(M) \rightarrow T_e G(M)$  given by sending  $g$  to the  $T_e G$ -component of  $\nabla(g)$  via the splitting is differential algebraic and its fibres are torsors for  $G(C)$ .

If  $M$  is a differential field with field of constants  $C$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $C$ , then

- we have a map of groups  $\nabla : G(M) \rightarrow TG(M)$  given in coordinates by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n; \partial(x_1), \dots, \partial(x_n))$ ,
- the tangent bundle splits as  $TG = G \ltimes T_e G$  (where  $T_e G$  is the tangent space to  $G$  at the identity) via  $(g, v) \mapsto (g, d(g^{-1} \cdot)v)$ , and
- the map  $\partial \log_G : G(M) \rightarrow T_e G(M)$  given by sending  $g$  to the  $T_e G$ -component of  $\nabla(g)$  via the splitting is differential algebraic and its fibres are torsors for  $G(C)$ .

If  $M$  is a differential field with field of constants  $C$  and  $G$  is an algebraic group over  $C$ , then

- we have a map of groups  $\nabla : G(M) \rightarrow TG(M)$  given in coordinates by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n; \partial(x_1), \dots, \partial(x_n))$ ,
- the tangent bundle splits as  $TG = G \ltimes T_e G$  (where  $T_e G$  is the tangent space to  $G$  at the identity) via  $(g, v) \mapsto (g, d(g^{-1} \cdot)v)$ , and
- the map  $\partial \log_G : G(M) \rightarrow T_e G(M)$  given by sending  $g$  to the  $T_e G$ -component of  $\nabla(g)$  via the splitting is differential algebraic and its fibres are torsors for  $G(C)$ .

The usual  $\partial \log$  is  $\partial \log_{G_m}$ .

# The covering maps

We are given:

- complex algebraic groups  $K < G$ ,
- a complex submanifold  $U \subseteq (G/K)(\mathbb{C})$ ,
- a discrete, Zariski dense subgroup  $\Gamma < G(\mathbb{C})$  for which  $\Gamma \curvearrowright U$ ,
- an algebraic variety  $X$ , and
- an analytic covering map  $\pi : U \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  expressing  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma \backslash U$ .

For example, we may take  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ ,  $U = \mathfrak{h} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \mathrm{Re}(z) > 0\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$  a congruence group in  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $X = Y_0(N)$  a modular curve and  $\pi = j_N : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  the associated covering map.

# The covering maps

We are given:

- complex algebraic groups  $K < G$ ,
- a complex submanifold  $U \subseteq (G/K)(\mathbb{C})$ ,
- a discrete, Zariski dense subgroup  $\Gamma < G(\mathbb{C})$  for which  $\Gamma \curvearrowright U$ ,
- an algebraic variety  $X$ , and
- an analytic covering map  $\pi : U \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  expressing  $X(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma \backslash U$ .

For example, we may take  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2$ ,  $U = \mathfrak{h} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > 0\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(N)$  a congruence group in  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $X = Y_0(N)$  a modular curve and  $\pi = j_N : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow Y_0(N)(\mathbb{C})$  the associated covering map.

# Generalized differential logarithm

As with the logarithm, the inverse function  $\pi^{-1} : X \rightarrow (G/K)$  is locally analytic, but is only well-defined up to the action of  $\Gamma$  and in the same way if  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  is analytic, then we deduce a multivalued function  $\pi^{-1}(f)$ . Put another way, if  $M = \mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  is the differential field of meromorphic functions on  $\Delta$ , we have a multivalued analytic function  $\pi^{-1} : X(M) \rightarrow (G/K)(M)$  well-defined up to the action of  $\Gamma$ .

If we had a differential algebraic map  $\eta$  defined on  $(G/K)$  so that  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff (\exists \gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}))[\gamma \cdot x = y]$ , then we would have a well-defined differential analytic function  $\chi$  defined by  $\chi := \eta \circ (\pi^{-1})$ .

As with the logarithm, the inverse function  $\pi^{-1} : X \rightarrow (G/K)$  is locally analytic, but is only well-defined up to the action of  $\Gamma$  and in the same way if  $\Delta$  is some connected Riemann surface and  $f : \Delta \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  is analytic, then we deduce a multivalued function  $\pi^{-1}(f)$ . Put another way, if  $M = \mathcal{M}(\Delta)$  is the differential field of meromorphic functions on  $\Delta$ , we have a multivalued analytic function  $\pi^{-1} : X(M) \rightarrow (G/K)(M)$  well-defined up to the action of  $\Gamma$ .

If we had a differential algebraic map  $\eta$  defined on  $(G/K)$  so that  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff (\exists \gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}))[\gamma \cdot x = y]$ , then we would have a well-defined differential analytic function  $\chi$  defined by  $\chi := \eta \circ (\pi^{-1})$ .

## Proposition

*If  $M$  is a differential field of characteristic zero with algebraically closed field of constants  $C$ , then the differential rational map  $S : K \dashrightarrow K$  defined by  $S(x) := (\frac{x''}{x'})' - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x''}{x'})^2$  enjoys the property that  $S(x) = S(y)$  if and only if there is some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(C)$  with  $y = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ .*

Another way of putting it, the map  $S : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  expresses  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as the quotient  $\mathrm{GL}_2(C) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathrm{GL}_2(C) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 / K$  where  $K$  is the group of upper triangular matrices.

## Proposition

*If  $M$  is a differential field of characteristic zero with algebraically closed field of constants  $C$ , then the differential rational map  $S : K \dashrightarrow K$  defined by  $S(x) := (\frac{x''}{x'})' - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x''}{x'})^2$  enjoys the property that  $S(x) = S(y)$  if and only if there is some  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(C)$  with  $y = \frac{ax+b}{cx+d}$ .*

Another way of putting it, the map  $S : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  expresses  $\mathbb{P}^1$  as the quotient  $\mathrm{GL}_2(C) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1 = \mathrm{GL}_2(C) \backslash \mathrm{GL}_2 / K$  where  $K$  is the group of upper triangular matrices.

# Generalized Schwartzians

## Theorem (Poizat)

*The theory of differentially closed fields of characteristic zero eliminates imaginaries. That is, if  $M$  is a differentially closed field of characteristic zero,  $Y$  is some differentially constructible set over  $M$ , and  $E \subseteq Y \times Y$  is a differentially constructible equivalence relation, then there is a differentially constructible function  $\eta$  with domain  $Y$  having the property that  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff xEy$ .*

Taking  $Y = (G/K)$  and  $xEy : \iff (\exists g \in G(\mathbb{C}))[g \cdot x = y]$ , we obtain the existence of generalized Schwartzians.

## Corollary

*If  $K < G$  are complex algebraic groups, then there is a differentially constructible function  $\eta$  on  $(G/K)$  having the property that for any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$  and any two points  $x, y \in (G/K)(M)$  one has  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff (\exists \gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}))[\gamma \cdot x = y]$ .*

# Generalized Schwartzians

## Theorem (Poizat)

*The theory of differentially closed fields of characteristic zero eliminates imaginaries. That is, if  $M$  is a differentially closed field of characteristic zero,  $Y$  is some differentially constructible set over  $M$ , and  $E \subseteq Y \times Y$  is a differentially constructible equivalence relation, then there is a differentially constructible function  $\eta$  with domain  $Y$  having the property that  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff xEy$ .*

Taking  $Y = (G/K)$  and  $xEy : \iff (\exists g \in G(\mathbb{C}))[g \cdot x = y]$ , we obtain the existence of generalized Schwartzians.

## Corollary

*If  $K < G$  are complex algebraic groups, then there is a differentially constructible function  $\eta$  on  $(G/K)$  having the property that for any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$  and any two points  $x, y \in (G/K)(M)$  one has  $\eta(x) = \eta(y) \iff (\exists \gamma \in G(\mathbb{C}))[\gamma \cdot x = y]$ .*

# Generalized Schwartzians from algebraic groups

Poizat's theorem is itself a consequence of Weil's theorem that the quotient of a constructible set by a constructible equivalence relation may be realized as a constructible set.

In general, for an algebraic variety  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a natural number  $n$ , there is a truncated arc space  $\mathcal{A}_n X \rightarrow X$  which represents  $X(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1}))$ . For any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have a map  $\nabla : X(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n X(M)$  corresponding to the map of rings  $M \rightarrow M[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1})$  given by  $x \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial^j(x)}{j!} \epsilon^j$ .

There is a natural action  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ . By Weil's theorem on constructible quotients we obtain a constructible quotient map  $\rho_n : \mathcal{A}_n(G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ .

Our differential constructible map  $\chi$  may be taken to be  $\rho_n \circ \nabla : (G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  for  $n \gg 0$ .

# Generalized Schwartzians from algebraic groups

Poizat's theorem is itself a consequence of Weil's theorem that the quotient of a constructible set by a constructible equivalence relation may be realized as a constructible set.

In general, for an algebraic variety  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a natural number  $n$ , there is a truncated arc space  $\mathcal{A}_n X \rightarrow X$  which represents  $X(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1}))$ . For any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have a map  $\nabla : X(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n X(M)$  corresponding to the map of rings  $M \rightarrow M[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1})$  given by  $x \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial^j(x)}{j!} \epsilon^j$ .

There is a natural action  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ . By Weil's theorem on constructible quotients we obtain a constructible quotient map  $\rho_n : \mathcal{A}_n(G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ .

Our differential constructible map  $\chi$  may be taken to be  $\rho_n \circ \nabla : (G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  for  $n \gg 0$ .

# Generalized Schwartzians from algebraic groups

Poizat's theorem is itself a consequence of Weil's theorem that the quotient of a constructible set by a constructible equivalence relation may be realized as a constructible set.

In general, for an algebraic variety  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a natural number  $n$ , there is a truncated arc space  $\mathcal{A}_n X \rightarrow X$  which represents  $X(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1}))$ . For any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have a map  $\nabla : X(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n X(M)$  corresponding to the map of rings  $M \rightarrow M[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1})$  given by  $x \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial^j(x)}{j!} \epsilon^j$ .

There is a natural action  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ . By Weil's theorem on constructible quotients we obtain a constructible quotient map  $\rho_n : \mathcal{A}_n(G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ .

Our differential constructible map  $\chi$  may be taken to be  $\rho_n \circ \nabla : (G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  for  $n \gg 0$ .

# Generalized Schwartzians from algebraic groups

Poizat's theorem is itself a consequence of Weil's theorem that the quotient of a constructible set by a constructible equivalence relation may be realized as a constructible set.

In general, for an algebraic variety  $Y$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  and a natural number  $n$ , there is a truncated arc space  $\mathcal{A}_n X \rightarrow X$  which represents  $X(\mathbb{C}[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1}))$ . For any differential field  $M$  with field of constants  $\mathbb{C}$ , we have a map  $\nabla : X(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_n X(M)$  corresponding to the map of rings  $M \rightarrow M[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^{n+1})$  given by  $x \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial^j(x)}{j!} \epsilon^j$ .

There is a natural action  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ . By Weil's theorem on constructible quotients we obtain a constructible quotient map  $\rho_n : \mathcal{A}_n(G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$ .

Our differential constructible map  $\chi$  may be taken to be  $\rho_n \circ \nabla : (G/K) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  for  $n \gg 0$ .

# The generalized logarithm as a differential analytically constructible function

To say that  $\chi : X \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  is differential analytically constructible means that there is an analytically constructible function  $\tilde{\chi} : \mathcal{A}_n(X) \rightarrow G \backslash \mathcal{A}_n(G/K)$  for which  $\chi = \tilde{\chi} \circ \nabla$ .

## Theorem (Peterzil-Starchenko)

*If  $X$  is a complex algebraic variety and  $Y \subseteq X(\mathbb{C})$  is an o-minimally definable, analytically constructible set, then  $Y$  is algebraically constructible.*

## Corollary

*If there is some set  $F \subseteq (G/K)(\mathbb{C})$  for which  $\pi \upharpoonright F$  is o-minimally definable and surjective onto  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\chi$  is differentially algebraic.*

## Theorem (Peterzil-Starchenko)

*If  $X$  is a complex algebraic variety and  $Y \subseteq X(\mathbb{C})$  is an o-minimally definable, analytically constructible set, then  $Y$  is algebraically constructible.*

## Corollary

*If there is some set  $F \subseteq (G/K)(\mathbb{C})$  for which  $\pi \upharpoonright F$  is o-minimally definable and surjective onto  $X(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\chi$  is differentially algebraic.*

# When does the Peterzil-Starchenko theorem apply?

The standard o-minimal structure for these purposes is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an},\text{exp}}$ , in which one is allowed all polynomials over the reals, the real exponential function, and real analytic functions restricted to compact boxes (and any other function built from these).

- $\exp_A : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow A(\mathbb{C})$  where  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $g$
- $j : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , the analytic  $j$ -function expressing  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$
- More generally, theta functions and covering maps associated to moduli spaces of abelian varieties and for their universal families.

# When does the Peterzil-Starchenko theorem apply?

The standard o-minimal structure for these purposes is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an},\text{exp}}$ , in which one is allowed all polynomials over the reals, the real exponential function, and real analytic functions restricted to compact boxes (and any other function built from these).

- $\exp_A : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow A(\mathbb{C})$  where  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $g$
- $j : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , the analytic  $j$ -function expressing  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$
- More generally, theta functions and covering maps associated to moduli spaces of abelian varieties and for their universal families.

# When does the Peterzil-Starchenko theorem apply?

The standard o-minimal structure for these purposes is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an},\text{exp}}$ , in which one is allowed all polynomials over the reals, the real exponential function, and real analytic functions restricted to compact boxes (and any other function built from these).

- $\exp_A : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow A(\mathbb{C})$  where  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $g$
- $j : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , the analytic  $j$ -function expressing  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$
- More generally, theta functions and covering maps associated to moduli spaces of abelian varieties and for their universal families.

# When does the Peterzil-Starchenko theorem apply?

The standard o-minimal structure for these purposes is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{an},\text{exp}}$ , in which one is allowed all polynomials over the reals, the real exponential function, and real analytic functions restricted to compact boxes (and any other function built from these).

- $\exp_A : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow A(\mathbb{C})$  where  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $g$
- $j : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1(\mathbb{C})$ , the analytic  $j$ -function expressing  $\mathbb{A}^1 = \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathfrak{h}$
- More generally, theta functions and covering maps associated to moduli spaces of abelian varieties and for their universal families.