

The Galois Group of a Picard-Vessiot Extension, Part 3.  
The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory

Phyllis J. Cassidy  
Smith College  
and  
The City College of CUNY  
pcassidy1@nyc.rr.com

**1**

# The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory, Part I

Let  $K$  be an ordinary differential field with algebraically closed field  $C$  of constants,

and let  $L$  be a Picard-Vessiot extension of  $K$ . Write

$$\begin{aligned} L &= K(\alpha), & \alpha &\in GL(n, L), \\ \alpha' &= A\alpha, & A &\in M(n, K), \\ L^\Delta &= C. \end{aligned}$$

$Gal(L/K)$ : the group of  $\Delta$ - $K$ -automorphisms of  $L$ .

Let  $M$  be a  $\Delta$ -subfield of  $L$  containing  $K$ . We call  $M$  an *intermediate differential field*.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= M(\alpha) \\ \alpha' &= A\alpha, & A &\in M(n, M). \\ L^\Delta &= C. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $L$  is a Picard-Vessiot extension of  $M$  for  $A$ , with fundamental matrix  $\alpha$ .

Recall:

$$P = K \left[ \alpha, \frac{1}{\det \alpha} \right]$$

is the Picard-Vessiot ring associated with  $L$ , and that it is  $\Delta$ -simple.

The tensor product  $P \otimes_K P$  is reduced.

Recall, also, the mapping ,

$$\begin{aligned} c : Gal(L/K) &\rightarrow GL(n, C) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto c(\sigma) = \alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The image of  $c$  is a closed subgroup of  $GL(n, C)$ .

The defining ideal of  $c(G)$  is the kernel  $\mathfrak{a}$  of the surjective homomorphism

$$C \left[ X, \frac{1}{\det X} \right] \longrightarrow C \left[ \gamma, \frac{1}{\det \gamma} \right], \quad X \longmapsto \gamma, \quad \frac{1}{\det X} \longmapsto \frac{1}{\det \gamma}$$

where  $D = C \left[ \gamma, \frac{1}{\det \gamma} \right] = (P \otimes_K P)^\Delta$ .

Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

$H$  is *closed* if  $c(H)$  is closed.

Let  $M$  be an intermediate differential field.  $\text{Gal}(L/M)$  is a closed subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

$$L^H = \{a \in L : \forall \sigma \in H \ \sigma a = a\}.$$

Clearly,  $L^H$  is a  $\Delta$ -subfield of  $L$  containing  $K$ .

We want to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** *Let  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}(L/K)$  be the set of intermediate differential fields, and order  $\mathfrak{J}$  by inclusion.*

*Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the set of closed subgroups of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ , also ordered by inclusion.*

*Then, the maps*

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}, \quad M \longmapsto \text{Gal}(L/M)$$

*and*

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}, \quad H \longmapsto L^H,$$

*are inclusion reversing and inverse to one another.*

Adam proved that a maximal  $\Delta$ -ideal is prime. We need a slightly more general result.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $R$  be a  $\Delta$ - $K$ -algebra, and let  $f \in R$ ,  $f \neq 0$ .*

1. *Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a radical  $\Delta$ -ideal that is a maximal  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  with respect to the exclusion of all non-negative powers of  $f$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is prime.*

2. If  $R$  is finitely generated over  $K$ , then  $(\text{qf}(R/\mathfrak{m}))^\Delta = C$ .

**Proof.** Set  $S = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\pi : R \rightarrow S$  be the quotient homomorphism.

Since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is radical,  $S$  is reduced. Thus, the multiplicative set in  $S$  generated by  $\pi(f)$  does not contain 0.

So,  $T = S_{\pi(f)}$  is not the 0 ring.

Let  $j : S \rightarrow T$  be the canonical homomorphism. We show that  $T$  is  $\Delta$ -simple.

$S = R/\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\pi : R \rightarrow S$  be the quotient homomorphism.  $T = S_{\pi(f)}$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a proper nonzero  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $T$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_0 = j^{-1}(\mathfrak{a})$ .  $\mathfrak{a} = j(\mathfrak{a}_0) \cdot T \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{a}_0 \neq (0)$ .

Therefore,  $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_0)$  properly contains  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

It follows that there exists a nonnegative integer  $e$  such that  $f^e \in \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_0)$ .

Therefore,  $(\pi(f))^e \in \mathfrak{a}$ . So,  $1 \in \mathfrak{a} = j(\mathfrak{a}_0) \cdot T$ . Thus,  $T$  is  $\Delta$ -simple.

Since  $(0)$  is a maximal  $\Delta$ -ideal, it is prime, and, therefore  $T$  is an integral domain.

We now show that  $\ker j = (0)$ . Suppose not.

Then,  $\pi^{-1}(\ker j)$  is a  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $R$  properly containing  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

It follows that there exists a nonnegative integer  $e$  such that  $(\pi(f))^e \in \ker j$ .

Therefore,  $1 = 0$  in  $T$ .  $\longrightarrow \longleftarrow$

So,  $j$  is injective, which implies that  $S$  is an integral domain, and  $\mathfrak{m}$  is prime.

This establishes the first statement.

If  $R$  is finitely generated over  $K$ , then, so are  $S$  and  $T$ .

Since  $T$  is  $\Delta$ -simple,  $(\text{qf}(T))^\Delta = C$  (Jerry's Talk I, Proposition 6).

Thus,

$$C \subseteq (\text{qf}(S))^\Delta \subseteq (\text{qf}(T))^\Delta = C,$$

thus, establishing the second statement. ■

**Lemma 3** (*The existence of a moving automorphism*) Let  $a \in L, a \notin K$ .

Then, there exists  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  with  $\sigma a \neq a$ .

**Proof.** Write  $a = \frac{b}{c}$ , with  $b, c \in P, c \neq 0$ . Since  $a \notin K$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are linearly independent over  $K$ .

We complete  $\{b, c\}$  to a basis  $\Lambda$  of  $P$  over  $K$ .

Then,  $\Lambda \otimes_K \Lambda$  is a basis of  $P \otimes_K P$  over  $K$ . In particular,  $b \otimes c$  and  $c \otimes b$  are linearly independent over  $K$ . In particular,

$$f = b \otimes c - c \otimes b$$

is not zero. By Lemma 16,  $P \otimes_K P$  is reduced. Therefore, no positive integer power of  $f$  is 0.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be a radical  $\Delta$ -ideal of  $P \otimes_K P$  that is maximal among the  $\Delta$ -ideals excluding all non-negative powers of  $f$

By Lemma 3,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is prime and

$$S = (P \otimes_K P) / \mathfrak{m}$$

has the property that

$$(qf(S))^\Delta = C.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 &: P \rightarrow P \otimes_K P & j_1(x) &= x \otimes_K 1 \\ j_2 &: P \rightarrow P \otimes_K P & j_2(x) &= 1 \otimes_K x \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi : P \otimes_K P \rightarrow S$$

be the canonical  $\Delta$ - $K$ -homomorphisms. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} j_1(\alpha) &= \alpha \otimes_K 1 \\ j_2(\alpha) &= (\alpha \otimes_K 1)\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $P$  is  $\Delta$ -simple, the  $\Delta$ - $K$ -homomorphism  $\pi \circ j_k$  is injective,

$j = 1, 2$ .

Thus,  $\det(\pi(j_k(\alpha))) = \pi(j_k(\det \alpha)) \neq 0$ , and, therefore,  $\pi(j_k(\alpha)) \in GL(n, S)$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi(j_k(\alpha)))' &= \pi(j_k(\alpha')) \\ &= \pi(j_k(A\alpha)) \\ &= A\pi(j_k(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

So, both  $\pi(j_1(\alpha))$  and  $\pi(j_2(\alpha))$  are fundamental matrices for  $A$ .

As a result, there exists a matrix  $d \in GL(n, S^\Delta) = GL(n, C)$  such that

$$\pi(j_2(\alpha)) = \pi(j_1(\alpha))d.$$

It follows that

$$\pi(j_1(P)) = \pi(j_2(P)) =: R.$$

We now replace  $S$  with  $R$ .

For  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $\pi \circ j_k$  is a  $\Delta$ - $K$ -isomorphism from  $P$  onto  $R$ .

Therefore, we may define

$$\sigma : P \rightarrow P, \quad \sigma = (\pi \circ j_1)^{-1} \circ (\pi \circ j_2).$$

Clearly,  $\sigma$  is a  $\Delta$ - $K$ -automorphism of  $P$ , and, extends uniquely to an element of  $Gal(L/K)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\alpha &= (\pi \circ j_1)^{-1}(\pi(j_2(\alpha))) \\ &= (\pi \circ j_1)^{-1}(\pi(j_1(\alpha))d) \\ &= \alpha d. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $d = c(\sigma)$ .

We want to show that for

$$a = \frac{b}{c},$$

$\sigma a \neq a$ . Suppose  $a - \sigma a = 0$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{b}{c} - \frac{\sigma b}{\sigma c} \\ 0 &= b\sigma c - c\sigma b \\ &= (\pi \circ j_1)(b)(\pi \circ j_1)(\sigma c) - (\pi \circ j_1)(c)(\pi \circ j_1)(\sigma b) \\ &= (\pi \circ j_1)(b)(\pi \circ j_2)(c) - (\pi \circ j_1)(c)(\pi \circ j_2)(b) \\ &= \pi(b \otimes_K 1)\pi(1 \otimes_K c) - \pi(c \otimes_K 1)\pi(1 \otimes_K b) \\ &= \pi(b \otimes_K c - c \otimes_K b) \\ &= \pi(f). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the hypothesis that  $f \notin \ker \pi$ . Therefore,  $\sigma a \neq a$ . ■

$\mathfrak{J}$  is the set of intermediate  $\Delta$ -fields of  $L/K$ .

$\mathfrak{G}$  is the set of closed subgroups of  $Gal(L/K)$ .

$$\Phi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}, \quad M \mapsto Gal(L/M)$$

$$\Psi : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}, \quad H \mapsto L^H,$$

**Lemma 4** *Let  $M \in \mathfrak{J}$ . Then,*

$$\Psi(\Phi(M)) = M.$$

**Proof.** *We want to show: The fixed field of  $Gal(L/M)$  is  $M$ .*

*Evidently,*

$$M \subseteq L^{Gal(L/M)}.$$

*By Lemma 4,*

$$L^{Gal(L/M)} \subseteq M.$$

*Thus,  $M = L^{Gal(L/M)}$ . ■*

$$\bar{\sigma} : P \otimes_K P \rightarrow P, \quad a \otimes_K b \mapsto a\sigma b.$$

$$\bar{\sigma}\gamma = \bar{\sigma}(\alpha^{-1} \otimes_K \alpha) = \alpha^{-1}\sigma\alpha = c(\sigma).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the defining ideal in  $K[X, \frac{1}{\det X}]$  of  $c(Gal(L/K))$ .

Let  $H \subseteq Gal(L/K)$  be a closed subgroup.

$\exists$  a radical ideal  $\mathfrak{b} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$  in  $C[X, \frac{1}{\det X}]$  such that

$$\sigma \in H \iff F(c(\sigma)) = 0 \quad \forall F \in \mathfrak{b}.$$

**Lemma 5** *If  $L^H = K$ , then,  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$ , i.e.,  $H = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ .*

**Proof.** *Suppose  $\mathfrak{b} \neq \mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $F \in \mathfrak{b}$ ,  $F \notin \mathfrak{a}$ .  $F(\gamma) \in C\left[\gamma, \frac{1}{\det \gamma}\right] \subseteq P \otimes_K P$ , and  $F(\gamma) \neq 0$ .*

*However,  $\forall \sigma \in H$ , since  $F$  has coefficients in the fixed field  $K$  of  $H$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(F(\gamma)) &= F(\bar{\sigma}\gamma) \\ &= F(c(\sigma)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$F(\gamma) \in C\left[\gamma, \frac{1}{\det \gamma}\right] \subseteq P \otimes_K P.$$

*$F(\gamma) \neq 0$ , but,  $\forall \sigma \in H$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}(F(\gamma)) = 0$ .*

*Let  $w \in P \otimes_K P$ . Write*

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \otimes_K b_i, \quad a_i, b_i \in P,$$

*with  $d$  smallest. Choose  $w$  such that*

1.  *$w \neq 0$ , but,  $\forall \sigma \in H$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}w = 0$ .*
2. *No element of  $P \otimes_K P$  satisfying 1 has a representation with less than  $d$  terms as a sum of tensors*

*In particular,  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  are linearly independent over  $K$ , as are  $b_1, \dots, b_d$ .*

*Since  $P \otimes_K 1$  and  $1 \otimes_K P$  are linearly disjoint over  $K$ , it follows that*

$$1 \otimes_K b_1, \dots, 1 \otimes_K b_d$$

*are linearly independent over  $P \otimes_K 1$ , and*

$$a_1 \otimes_K 1, \dots, a_d \otimes_K 1$$

*are linearly independent over  $1 \otimes_K P$ .*



Let  $\tau \in H$ , and set

$$w_\tau = \sum_{i=1}^d \tau a_i \otimes_K b_i.$$

We claim that  $w_\tau \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $w_\tau = 0$ . Since  $1 \otimes_K b_1, \dots, 1 \otimes_K b_d$  are linearly independent over  $P \otimes_K 1$ ,

$$0 = \tau a_1 = \dots = \tau a_d.$$

Since  $\tau$  is injective,  $a_1 = \dots = a_d = 0$ .  $\rightarrow\leftarrow$

So,  $w_\tau \neq 0$ . We now show that  $\forall \sigma \in H, \bar{\sigma} w_\tau = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma} w_\tau &= \sum_{i=1}^d \tau a_i \sigma b_i \\ &= \tau \left( \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \tau^{-1} \sigma b_i \right) \\ &= \tau \left( \overline{\tau^{-1} \sigma}(w) \right) \\ &= \tau(0) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\tau^{-1} \sigma \in H$ .

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
z_\tau &= (a_d \otimes_K 1) w_\tau - (\tau a_d \otimes_K 1) w \\
&= (a_d \otimes_K 1) \sum_{i=1}^d \tau a_i \otimes_K b_i - (\tau a_d \otimes_K 1) \sum_{i=1}^d a_i \otimes_K b_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^d (a_d \tau a_i - \tau a_d a_i) \otimes_K b_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{d-1} (a_d \tau a_i - \tau a_d a_i) \otimes_K b_i.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $z_\tau = 0$ , then, for  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ ,  $a_d \tau a_i - \tau a_d a_i = 0$ .

Suppose  $z_\tau = 0$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, d-1$ ,  $a_d \tau a_i - \tau a_d a_i = 0$ . Since  $a_d \neq 0$ , we have

$$\forall \tau \in H, \quad \tau \left( \frac{a_i}{a_d} \right) = \frac{a_i}{a_d}.$$

Therefore, since the fixed field of  $H$  is  $K$ , there exists  $f \in K$  such that

$$a_d = f a_i.$$

This contradicts the linear independence over  $K$  of  $a_1, \dots, a_d$ .

So,  $z_\tau \neq 0$ . We now show that  $\forall \sigma \in H$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} z_\tau = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\sigma} z_\tau &= \bar{\sigma} (a_d \otimes_K 1) \bar{\sigma} w_\tau - \bar{\sigma} (\tau a_d \otimes_K 1) \bar{\sigma} w \\
&= \bar{\sigma} (a_d \otimes_K 1) \cdot 0 - \bar{\sigma} (\tau a_d \otimes_K 1) \cdot 0 \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the choice of  $w$ , and proves the lemma. ■

**Lemma 6** If  $H \in \mathfrak{G}$ , then,  $\Phi(\Psi(H)) = H$ .

**Proof.**  $\Phi(\Psi(H)) = \text{Gal}(L/L^H)$ . By Lemma 6,  $\text{Gal}(L/L^H) = H$ . ■

This ends the proof of the fundamental theorem of Galois theory, Part I.

**Corollary 7** *Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$  such that the fixed field of  $H$  is  $K$ . Then,  $H$  is dense in  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .*

**Remark 8** *In particular,  $A \in M(n, C(x))$ , and, if the differential equation*

$$y' = Ay$$

*is Fuchsian, then, its monodromy group is dense in  $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ .*

**Theorem 9** *(The Fundamental Theorem of Galois Theory, Part II) Let  $L$  be a Picard-Vessiot extension of  $K$ .*

1. *Let  $H \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Then,  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$  if and only if  $\forall \sigma \in G, \sigma(L^H) \subseteq L^H$ . If  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , the restriction*

*map*

$$G \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L^H/K) \quad \sigma \mapsto \sigma|_{L^H},$$

*is surjective, and has kernel  $H$ . Moreover,  $L^H$  is a Picard-Vessiot extension of  $K$ , and  $\text{Gal}(L^H/K)$  is isomorphic to the quotient group  $G/H$ .*

2. *Let  $G^0$  be the identity component of  $G$ . Then,  $L^{G^0}$  is a finite Galois extension of  $K$ , and  $\text{Gal}(L^{G^0}/K) \approx G/G^0$  is its algebraic Galois group.*

**Corollary 10**  *$\text{Gal}(L/K)$  is connected if and only if  $K$  is algebraically closed in  $L$ .*