

Finite Tensor Categories and a certain class of Frobenius algebras

Miodrag C Ivanov

University of Southern California, LA

CUNY Graduate Center

April 30th, 2010

Definition

- (i) An algebra A is Frobenius if $A \cong A^\vee$ where V^\vee denotes the dual of the vector space V .
- (ii) A f.d. (Artinian...) algebra is called quasi-Frobenius if the following equivalent conditions hold:
- A is left (or right) injective.
 - Every left (or right) injective module is projective.
 - Every left (or right) projective module is injective.
 - The function $\{ \text{left (right) f.d. projectives} \} P \longmapsto P^\vee \{ \text{right (left) f.d. injectives} \}$ is well defined (and then, consequently, bijective).
 - The function $\{ \text{left (right) f.d. injectives} \} Q \longmapsto Q^\vee \{ \text{right (left) f.d. projectives} \}$ is well defined (and then, consequently, bijective).
 - A is weakly isomorphic to A^\vee , that is, there are some coproduct powers of these modules which are isomorphic: $A^{(I)} \cong (A^\vee)^{(J)}$.

Note

Every QF algebra is Morita equivalent to a Frobenius algebra.

Note

Every QF algebra is Morita equivalent to a Frobenius algebra.

So then, what's the difference? Let S_1, \dots, S_n be “the” simple left A -modules. $A/Jac(A) = \bigoplus S_i^{n_i}$,

$A = \bigoplus_i P_i^{n_i}$, where P_i are projective covers of S_i (i.e. P_i local and

$P_i \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow 0$). Let $P'_i \rightarrow S_i^\vee$ be the projective right modules.

$A^\vee = \bigoplus_j (P'_j)_{n_j}$.

Note

Every QF algebra is Morita equivalent to a Frobenius algebra.

So then, what's the difference? Let S_1, \dots, S_n be “the” simple left A -modules. $A/Jac(A) = \bigoplus S_i^{n_i}$,

$A = \bigoplus_i P_i^{n_i}$, where P_i are projective covers of S_i (i.e. P_i local and

$P_i \rightarrow S_i \rightarrow 0$). Let $P'_i \rightarrow S_i^\vee$ be the projective right modules.

$A^\vee = \bigoplus_j (P'_j)_{n_j}$.

Suppose A is QF. Let $j = \sigma(i)$ if $P'_j \cong P_i$.

An isomorphism $A \cong A^\vee$ means

$A = \bigoplus_i P_i^{n_i} \cong A^\vee = \bigoplus_j (P'_j)_{n_j} \cong \bigoplus_i P_i^{n_{\sigma(i)}}$. So we need $n_i = n_{\sigma(i)}$

n_i = multiplicity of S_i ;
 $n_{\sigma(i)}$ = multiplicity of $S_{\sigma(i)}$.

Since $P_i^\vee = P_{\sigma(i)}^\vee \rightarrow S_{\sigma(i)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ (a proj cover), we have $0 \rightarrow S_{\sigma(i)} \rightarrow P_i$ (an injective envelope). Thus we need that **the multiplicity of the socle** of P_i (the bottom) equals **the multiplicity of the cosocle** of P_i (the top).

Frobenius and QF Algebras

n_i = multiplicity of S_i ;
 $n_{\sigma(i)}$ = multiplicity of $S_{\sigma(i)}$.

Since $P_i^\vee = P_{\sigma(i)}^\vee \rightarrow S_{\sigma(i)}^\vee \rightarrow 0$ (a proj cover), we have $0 \rightarrow S_{\sigma(i)} \rightarrow P_i$ (an injective envelope). Thus we need that **the multiplicity of the socle** of P_i (the bottom) equals **the multiplicity of the cosocle** of P_i (the top).

Note

When the basefield K is algebraically closed (or $A/\text{Jac}(A)$ is a product of blocs of K -matrices), **multiplicity** of the simple module S_i is the **dimension** of S_i . So

Frobenius \Leftrightarrow QF + “dim of the top of P_i equals dim of the bottom of P_i ”.

quasi-Hopf algebras = Hopf algebras which are only coassociative only up to an invertible twist

coquasi-Hopf algebras, or **dual quasi-Hopf algebras** = Hopf algebras which are only associative up to a twist

weak Hopf algebras = “Hopf algebras over a base”, that is an algebra and a coalgebra, only with “weaker” axioms for the antipode and counit.

Notations

General starting category: $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(A)$, for an algebra A .

\mathcal{C} - finite tensor category:

finite - finitely many simples, semiperfect (projective covers exist for simples), all objects have finite length and $\text{Hom}(S, S)$ finite dimensional for simple objects S (or all $\text{Hom}(M, N)$ are f.d.). tensor - monoidal, rigid, and 1 is **simple** (in general, 1 is semisimple - multitensor category)
category - K -category

Tannakian reconstruction

Notions: Monoidal category, dual objects (rigid category), tensor functor = faithful and $F(A \otimes B) \cong F(A) \otimes F(B)$, $F(I) = I$ with “compatible” isomorphisms. quasi-tensor functor = we do not require compatibility for these isomorphisms.

Tensor category = Monoidal + rigid. + 1 is simple.

Tannakian reconstruction

Notions: Monoidal category, dual objects (rigid category), tensor functor = faithful and $F(A \otimes B) \cong F(A) \otimes F(B)$, $F(I) = I$ with “compatible” isomorphisms. quasi-tensor functor = we do not require compatibility for these isomorphisms.

Tensor category = Monoidal + rigid. + 1 is simple.

Let γ be a tensor category. Tannakian duality: a certain one-one correspondence (equivalence of suitably defined categories) between Tensor Functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \gamma$ and Hopf algebras in γ . In general,

$$\text{Hopf}(\gamma) \ni H \longmapsto (\text{Rep}(H) = H\text{-mod} \rightarrow \gamma) \in (* \rightarrow \gamma)$$

has a *left* adjoint.

In many situations, there is a Tannakian reconstruction $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow R\text{-Bimod} < \text{---to---} > (\text{comod-}H)_c$ (must be f.g. proj over R ...) with fibre functors, where H is a Hopf algebra in $R\text{-Bimod}$, for a ring R .

Examples

1. compact groups $G / \text{Rep}_c(G)$ $j \dashv \dashv \dashv i$ **semisimple** symmetric tensor \mathbb{C} -categories, with $\dim \text{Hom}(S, S) = 1$ for simple objects, together with fibre functor to $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{C}} / \text{comod-}R_c(G)$.
2. algebraic groups $G / \text{Rep}_a(G)$ /f.g. commutative Hopf algebras $j \dashv \dashv \dashv i$ **symmetric** rigid tensor categories (and equal left and right duals), together with fibre functor to $\text{Vect}_k / \text{comod-}R_a(G)$.
3. algebraic group schemes / commutative Hopf algebras H (representing the scheme as a representable functor) $\langle \dashv \dashv \dashv \rangle$ neutral Tannakian categories (rigid tensor categories, with same left and right duals) with fibre functor to $\text{Vect}_K / \text{comod-}H$.
4. Hopf algebras $H \langle \dashv \dashv \dashv \rangle$ finite tensor categories C with fibre functor $C \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k / \text{comod-}H / \text{mod-}H^*$.

Examples

5. quasi-Hopf algebras / co-quasi Hopf algebras $\langle - - - \rangle$ finite tensor categories \mathcal{C} with fibre **quasi**-tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vect}_k$ / comod- H / mod- H^*
6. weak-Hopf algebras L / Hopf algebras H in $A - \text{mod}$ $\langle - - - \rangle$ finite tensor categories \mathcal{C} with fibre functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow A - \text{mod}$, A -**semisimple separable** / comod- H / mod- L
7. “weak quasi-Hopf algebras” L / Hopf algebras H in $A - \text{mod}$ $\langle - - - \rangle$ finite tensor categories \mathcal{C} with fibre **quasi**-tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow A - \text{mod}$ / comod- H / mod- L
- ?. differential algebraic groups / a “suitable” commutative Hopf algebra of representative functions / commutative Hopf algebras in the category $K[\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n] - \text{Mod}_c$ $\langle - - - \rangle$ neutral Tannakian categories \mathcal{C} with fibre functors to $K[\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n] - \text{Mod}$.

Notations

$F : \mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H) \rightarrow \text{Bimod}(A)$ (quasi)tensor functor.

$(V_i)_{i \in I}$ - the simple objects in \mathcal{C} , with P_i covers.

The vector space dimension of an H -module M is $\dim_K(F(M))$.

Then $H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i^{\dim(F(V_i))}$.

$(S_j)_{j=1,p}$ the simple right A -modules; $S_{ij} = S_i^\vee \otimes_K S_j$ are the simple A -bimodules. $d_i := \dim_K(S_i)$.

For X of \mathcal{C} define $N_{X_j}^k$ defined by the left multiplication by X , where $N_{X_j}^k$ is the multiplicity $[X \otimes V_j : V_k]$ of V_k in the Jordan-Hölder series of $X \otimes V_j$ in \mathcal{C} .

Some fairly immediate facts

(Etingof, Ostrik)

For P_i , $i \in I$, there is $D(i) \in I$ be such that $P_i^* \simeq P_{D(i)}$ (here $(-)^*$ denotes the **categorical right dual**).

Also, there is an invertible object V_ρ of \mathcal{C} such that $P_{D(i)} = P_{*i} \otimes V_\rho$ and $V_{D(i)} = V_{*i} \otimes V_\rho = {}^*V_i \otimes V_\rho$, where we convey $V_{*i} = {}^*V_i$.

Proposition

Denote $[F(X) : S_{ij}]$ the multiplicity of S_{ij} . Then

$$\dim_K(F(\text{soc}(P_k))) = \sum_{i,j} [F(V_{D(k)}) : S_{ij}] d_i d_j$$

$$\dim_K(F(\text{cosoc}(P_k))) = \sum_{i,j} [F(*V_k) : S_{ij}] d_i d_j$$

A Proof

We have $P_k^* \rightarrow \text{cosoc}(P_k^*) \rightarrow 0$, equivalently, by taking left duals, we get $0 \rightarrow {}^* \text{cosoc}(P_k^*) \rightarrow {}^*(P_k^*) = P_k$ so $\text{soc}(P_k) = {}^* \text{cosoc}(P_k^*)$. Also, $\dim_K(F({}^*X)) = \dim_K(F(X^*)) = \dim_K(F(X))^\vee = \dim_K(F(X))$ (in $\text{Bimod}(A)$ left and right duals are the same). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K(F(\text{soc}(P_k))) &= \dim_K(F({}^* \text{cosoc}(P_k^*))) \quad (\text{by duality}) \\ &= \dim_K(F({}^* \text{cosoc}(P_{D(k)}))) \quad (P_{D(k)} \simeq P_k^*) \\ &= \dim_K(F({}^* V_{D(k)})) = \dim_K(F(V_{D(k)})) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} [F(V_{D(k)}) : S_{ij}] d_i d_j \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows similarly.

A first result

If X, Y are objects of \mathcal{C} , then the matrix $M_X = [F(X) : S_{ij}]_{i,j=1,n}$ has integer coefficients, and moreover, $M_{X \otimes Y} = M_X M_Y$.

A first result

If X, Y are objects of \mathcal{C} , then the matrix $M_X = [F(X) : S_{ij}]_{i,j=1,n}$ has integer coefficients, and moreover, $M_{X \otimes Y} = M_X M_Y$.

Theorem

Let H be a weak quasi-Hopf algebra with the base algebra A . If the dimensions of the simple components of A are all equal, then H is a Frobenius algebra. In particular, this is true if the base algebra A is commutative, so also when H is a quasi-Hopf algebra.

Another proof

Since $V_{D(k)} = {}^*V_k \otimes V_\rho$, $M_{V_{D(k)}} = M_{{}^*V_k} \cdot M_{V_\rho}$.

V_ρ is invertible $\Rightarrow M_{V_\rho} \cdot M_{V_\rho^{-1}} = M_{V_\rho^{-1}} \cdot M_{V_\rho} = M_{V_\rho \otimes V_\rho^{-1}} = M_{\mathbf{1}} = \text{Id}$, so

M_{V_ρ} is a permutation matrix (has \mathbb{Z} -coefficients and so does its inverse $M_{V_\rho^{-1}}$)

col's and elements of $M_{V_{D(k)}} = [F(V_{D(k)}) : S_{ij}]_{i,j=1,p}$ are a permutation of the col's and elements of $M_{{}^*V_k} = [F({}^*V_k) : S_{ij}]$.

$d = d_i = d_j$ for all i, j (e.g. A -commutative)

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_K(F(\text{soc}(P_k))) &= d^2 \sum_{i,j} [F(V_{D(k)}) : S_{ij}] \\ &= d^2 \sum_{i,j} [F({}^*V_k) : S_{ij}] \\ &= \dim_K(F(\text{cosoc}(P_k))) \end{aligned}$$

The Main Example

(Taft algebras)

$B =$ Taft algebra of dimension p^2 :

has generators g, x with $g^p = 1$, $x^p = 0$, $xg = \lambda gx$ with λ a primitive p 'th root of unity, and comultiplication $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, $\Delta(x) = g \otimes x + x \otimes 1$, counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ and antipode $S(g) = g^{-1}$, $S(x) = -g^{-1}x$.

The Main Example

(Taft algebras)

$B =$ Taft algebra of dimension p^2 :

has generators g, x with $g^p = 1$, $x^p = 0$, $xg = \lambda gx$ with λ a primitive p 'th root of unity, and comultiplication $\Delta(g) = g \otimes g$, $\Delta(x) = g \otimes x + x \otimes 1$, counit $\varepsilon(g) = 1$, $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ and antipode $S(g) = g^{-1}$, $S(x) = -g^{-1}x$.

Denote V_k the 1-dimensional B -module K with structure $x \cdot \alpha = 0$ and $g \cdot \alpha = \lambda^k \alpha$ - the simple B -modules.

$P_k \rightarrow V_k \rightarrow 0$ projective covers are in fact chain modules:

There is a Jordan-Hölder series of $I_k^k = P_k$,

$0 \subset I_k^1 \subseteq I_k^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_k^{p-1} \subseteq I_k^p$ and the terms of these series are

$$I_k^i / I_k^{i-1} \simeq V_{k+i-p}$$

So we have the quotients of the J-H series:

$$[V_{k+1}, \dots, V_p, V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}, V_k].$$

The tensor functor

We now build a tensor functor $F : \text{Rep}(B) \rightarrow \text{Bimod}(A)$ in several steps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Rep}(B) & \xrightarrow{F_1} & \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p) \\ \downarrow F & & \downarrow F_2 \\ \text{Bimod}(A) & \xleftarrow{F_3} & \text{Bimod}(K[\mathbb{Z}/p]) \end{array}$$

The tensor functor

- $F_1 : \text{Rep}(B) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$ be the forgetful functor, given by $\langle 1, g, \dots, g^{p-1} \rangle \simeq K[\mathbb{Z}/p] \hookrightarrow B$.
- $F_2 : K[\mathbb{Z}/p]\text{-mod} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow \text{Bimod}(K[\mathbb{Z}/p])$,
 $F_2(V_k) = \bigoplus_{i+j=k} V_i^* \otimes_K V_j = \bigoplus_i V_{-i} \otimes_K (V_{-i} \otimes V_k)$. Left adjoint of

$$G : \text{Bimod}(\mathbb{Z}/p) = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p) \longrightarrow K[\mathbb{Z}/p]\text{-mod} = \text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}/p)$$

, induced by the diagonal map $K[\mathbb{Z}/p] \rightarrow K[\mathbb{Z}/p] \otimes K[\mathbb{Z}/p]$ (from $\mathbb{Z}/p \ni i \mapsto (-i, i) \in \mathbb{Z}/p \times \mathbb{Z}/p$).

- $A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p M_{d_i}(K)$ and $F_3 : \text{Bimod}(\mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow \text{Bimod}(A)$,
 $F_3(V_i^* \otimes V_j) = S_i^\vee \otimes S_j = S_{ij}$.

When Taft(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n) is Frobenius

Proposition

With the notations above, the weak Hopf algebra H is a Frobenius algebra if and only if d_1, \dots, d_p are all equal. Also, the algebra H has dimension $(\sum_i d_i)^4$. Thus, if the d_i 's are not all equal, H is a weak Hopf algebra which is not a Frobenius algebra.

$$\dim_K(F(\text{soc}(P_k))) = \dim_K(F(V_{k+1})) = \dim_K\left(\bigoplus_{i+j=k+1} S_i^\vee \otimes S_j\right) = \sum_{i+j=k+1} d_i d_j$$

and also $\dim_K(F(\text{cosoc}(P_k))) = \dim_K(F(V_k)) = \sum_{i+j=k} d_i d_j$.

H is Frobenius \Leftrightarrow these two are equal for all k . Let $1 \neq \omega$ be a p 'th root

of 1 and $t(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} d_k x^k$.

$$t(\omega)^2 = \sum_{i,j} d_i d_j \omega^{i+j} = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i+j=k} d_i d_j \omega^k = \left(\sum_i d_i d_{-i}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_k \omega^k\right) = 0$$

(indices

are mod p). So t is divisible by $\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x^p$, i.e. they are proportional. Hence

all d_i are equal.

The “correct” version of weak Hopf algebras are Frobenius

Proposition

If \mathcal{C} is a finite tensor category, then $d_+(\text{soc}(P_k)) = d_+(\text{cosoc}(P_k))$, where d_+ represents the Frobenius-Perron dimension in \mathcal{C} .

Proof. As in Proposition 12, $\text{soc}(P_k) = {}^*L_{D(k)}$, so we compute
$$d_+(\text{soc}(P_k)) = d_+({}^*L_{D(k)}) = d_+(L_{D(k)}) = d_+({}^*L_k \otimes L_\rho) =$$
$$d_+({}^*L_k)d_+(L_\rho) = d_+(L_k) = d_+(\text{cosoc}(P_k)).$$
 □

Perhaps Frobenius in other way?

Frobenius Extensions: $k \hookrightarrow H$

$A \hookrightarrow H$

$A \otimes A^{op} \hookrightarrow H$

None of the above... reason: transitivity of Frobenius Extensions.

$k \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow H$ and $k \hookrightarrow A \otimes A^{op} \hookrightarrow H$

MCI, Lars Kadison, * *When Weak Hopf Algebras are Frobenius*,
Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Volume 138,
Number 3, March 2010, Pages 837-845.

*with special thanks to P.Etingof, MIT



T

T H

T H A

T H A N

T H A N K

T H A N K Y

T H A N K Y O U

T H A N K Y O U

T H A N K Y O U !