

# Entrée to Malgrange ideas: General Involutivity Theorem

Camilo Sanabria

The CUNY Graduate Center

October 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2008

## Recall

Given a fiber bundle  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ , we define the  $k$ -th order jet bundle  $J^k\pi$  as the collection of equivalent classes of germs of sections of  $\pi$ , where two sections are identified if their image and all their derivatives, up to the  $k$ -th order, coincide. The class of  $\phi \in \Gamma_p\pi$  is denoted by  $j_p^k\phi$ .

A local coordinate chart is given by the functions  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$ , where:

- $x^i$  are the coordinate functions of  $M$ .
- $u^\alpha$  are coordinate functions of the fibers of  $\pi$ .
- $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$  is a multi-index,  $|I| \leq k$ , and  $u_I^\alpha(j_p^k\phi) = \frac{\partial^{|I|}\phi^\alpha}{\partial x^I}$

## Recall

- $\dots \rightarrow J^k \pi \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow J^1 \pi \rightarrow E \rightarrow M$ , and we define:

$$J\pi = \lim_{\leftarrow} J^k \pi$$

- $\mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{J^1 \pi} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{J^k \pi} \rightarrow \dots$ , and we define:

$$\mathcal{O}_{J\pi} = \lim_{\rightarrow} \mathcal{O}_{J^k \pi}$$

- Locally,  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  turns into a sheaf of differential ring by setting

$$D_i F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{\alpha} u_i^{\alpha} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\alpha, 1 \leq |I| \leq k} u_{I+\epsilon_i}^{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{|I|} F}{\partial u_I^{\alpha}}$$

## Recall

With all this paraphernalia, we want to think about a system of differential equations as a coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  that are locally differential ideals. The local solutions are given by differential morphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -algebras:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{J\pi}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(U)$$

## Recall

With all this paraphernalia, we want to think about a system of differential equations as a coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  that are locally differential ideals. The local solutions are given by differential morphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -algebras:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{J\pi}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(U)$$

Alternatively, we can also think about a system of differential equations as a locally closed embedded sub-promanifold  $S$  of  $J\pi$ .

## Recall

With all this paraphernalia, we want to think about a system of differential equations as a coherent sheaf of ideals  $\mathcal{I}$  on  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  that are locally differential ideals. The local solutions are given by differential morphisms of  $\mathcal{O}_M$ -algebras:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{J\pi}/\mathcal{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M(U)$$

Alternatively, we can also think about a system of differential equations as a locally closed embedded sub-promanifold  $S$  of  $J\pi$ . We set

$$S^k = J^k\pi \cap S \quad \mathcal{I}^k = \mathcal{O}_{J^k\pi} \cap \mathcal{I}$$

$\mathcal{I}^k$  and  $S^k$  are called  $k$ -th order differential equations.

## Jet solutions

We turn our attention to the computational aspect of the differential equations. So we will not restrict our attention to an  $\mathcal{I}^k$  and an  $S^k$  coming from objects in  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  and  $J\pi$ . We simply start from elements defined on the  $k$ -th order jet.

## Jet solutions

We turn our attention to the computational aspect of the differential equations. So we will not restrict our attention to an  $\mathcal{I}^k$  and an  $S^k$  coming from objects in  $\mathcal{O}_{J\pi}$  and  $J\pi$ . We simply start from elements defined on the  $k$ -th order jet.

Not having a differential structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{J^k\pi}$ , we now consider  $k$ -th order jet solutions: these are simply (closed) points in  $S^k$ . Which one should think as a potential solution to the differential equation, in the sense that it is a  $k$ -th order Taylor expansion that may converge to a solution after extending it infinitely many times.



## Extending a jet solution

Assume  $F \in \mathcal{O}_E(U)[u_I^\alpha]$  ( $U \subseteq E$  open) is zero on  $S^k$ , and

$$j_p^k \phi = (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$$

is a  $k$ -th order jet solution (i.e.  $F(j_p^k \phi) = 0$ ).

## Extending a jet solution

Assume  $F \in \mathcal{O}_E(U)[u_I^\alpha]$  ( $U \subseteq E$  open) is zero on  $S^k$ , and

$$j_p^k \phi = (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$$

is a  $k$ -th order jet solution (i.e.  $F(j_p^k \phi) = 0$ ). If  $\phi \in \Gamma(U)$  is a local solution of  $S^k$ , i.e.  $j^k \phi(U) \subseteq S^k$

## Extending a jet solution

Assume  $F \in \mathcal{O}_E(U)[u_I^\alpha]$  ( $U \subseteq E$  open) is zero on  $S^k$ , and

$$j_p^k \phi = (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$$

is a  $k$ -th order jet solution (i.e.  $F(j_p^k \phi) = 0$ ). If  $\phi \in \Gamma(U)$  is a local solution of  $S^k$ , i.e.  $j^k \phi(U) \subseteq S^k$  then, since  $F$  is constant (zero) in  $S^k$ ,

$$D_i F(j_p^{k+1} \phi) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} F \circ j^k \phi(p) = 0$$

Recall,

$$D_i F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{\alpha} u_i^\alpha \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha, 1 \leq |I| \leq k} u_{I+\epsilon_i}^\alpha \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_I^\alpha}$$

## Extending a jet solution

So a necessary condition for a  $k$ -th order jet solution  $j_p^k \phi$  to converge to a local solution is that there is a point in  $S^{k+1} = pr_1 S^k \in J^{k+1} \pi$  above  $j_p^k \phi$ . Where:

$$pr_1 S^k := \{\text{zeroes in } J^{k+1} \pi \text{ of } F \text{ and } D_i F \mid F \text{ vanishes in } S^k\}$$

## Extending a jet solution

So a necessary condition for a  $k$ -th order jet solution  $j_p^k \phi$  to converge to a local solution is that there is a point in  $S^{k+1} = pr_1 S^k \in J^{k+1} \pi$  above  $j_p^k \phi$ . Where:

$$pr_1 S^k := \{ \text{zeroes in } J^{k+1} \pi \text{ of } F \text{ and } D_i F \mid F \text{ vanishes in } S^k \}$$

In other words, we solve for  $\{u_{l+\epsilon_i}^\alpha\}_{|l|=k, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  the system:

$$\sum_{\alpha, |l|=k} \frac{\partial |l| F}{\partial u_l^\alpha}(x^i, u^\alpha, u_l^\alpha) u_{l+\epsilon_i}^\alpha = - \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} + \sum_{\alpha, 0 \leq |l| < k} u_{l+\epsilon_i}^\alpha \frac{\partial |l| F}{\partial u_l^\alpha} \right) (x^i, u^\alpha, u_l^\alpha)$$

## Extending a jet solution

Set  $\delta_j F = \delta_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$  as the linear form on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with coordinates  $\{u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha\}_{|I|=k, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  defined by:

$$\delta_j F : (u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha) \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha, |I|=k} \frac{\partial^{|I|} F}{\partial u_I^\alpha} (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha) u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha$$

## Extending a jet solution

Set  $\delta_j F = \delta_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$  as the linear form on the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space with coordinates  $\{u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha\}_{|I|=k, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}}$  defined by:

$$\delta_j F : (u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha) \mapsto \sum_{\alpha, |I|=k} \frac{\partial^{|I|} F}{\partial u_I^\alpha} (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha) u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha$$

Set  $v_j = v_j(x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$

$$v_j = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^j} + \sum_{\alpha, 0 \leq |I| < k} u_{I+\epsilon_j}^\alpha \frac{\partial^{|I|} F}{\partial u_I^\alpha} \right) (x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$$

## Extending a jet solution

So the question is now: is there a vector  $(u_{I+\epsilon_i}^\alpha)$  such that:

$$(\delta_j F(u_{I+\epsilon_i}^\alpha)) = -(v_j)?$$

A necessary and sufficient is that the linear functionals that vanishes at the image of  $(\delta_j F)$  vanishes at  $(v_j)$ . In other words:

$$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_j \lambda_j v_j = 0$$



## Extending a jet solution

$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  means:

- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  is constant in the fiber of  $S^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k$  above  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_l^\alpha) = j_\rho^k \phi$

## Extending a jet solution

$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  means:

- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  is constant in the fiber of  $S^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k$  above  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = j_\rho^k \phi$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = \sum_j \lambda_j v_j$

## Extending a jet solution

$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  means:

- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  is constant in the fiber of  $S^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k$  above  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = j_\rho^k \phi$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = \sum_j \lambda_j v_j$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j v_j = 0$  means this constant at the fiber is zero.

## Extending a jet solution

$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  means:

- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  is constant in the fiber of  $S^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k$  above  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = j_\rho^k \phi$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = \sum_j \lambda_j v_j$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j v_j = 0$  means this constant at the fiber is zero.
- $\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) \equiv 0$  implies that  $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  can be seen as a function over  $S^k$ .

## Extending a jet solution

$\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  means:

- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  is constant in the fiber of  $S^{k+1} \rightarrow S^k$  above  $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = j_\rho^k \phi$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = \sum_j \lambda_j v_j$
- $\sum_j \lambda_j v_j = 0$  means this constant at the fiber is zero.
- $\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) \equiv 0$  implies that  $\sum_j \lambda_j D_j F$  can be seen as a function over  $S^k$ .

Introducing some notation we will interpret  $\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F = 0$  as  $\sum_j \lambda_j \delta_j F$  is a cycle and  $\sum_j \lambda_j v_j$  will correspond to the value of a function at this cycle, vanishing at the borders.

## Example: The curvature

We take  $M$  an open set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  an  $m$ -dimensional vector bundle over  $M$ . We set the connection defined by

$$\left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} u\right)^\alpha = \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha u^\beta:$$

$$D_i \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ \vdots \\ u^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_i^1 \\ \vdots \\ u_i^m \end{pmatrix} = A_i \begin{pmatrix} u^1 \\ \vdots \\ u^m \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_i$  is the matrix with  $(A_i)_{\beta}^\alpha = \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha$  holomorphic over  $M$ . So  $S^1$  is defined by the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  generated by the

$$F_i^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_i^\alpha - \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha(x^1, \dots, x^n) u^\beta$$

## Example: The curvature

$$F_i^\alpha(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_i^\alpha - \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha(x^1, \dots, x^n) u^\beta$$

$$\delta_j F_i^\alpha(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_{ij}^\alpha$$

$$v_{i,j}^\alpha(x^i, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = - \sum_{\beta} u^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha u_j^\beta \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$

## Example: The curvature

$$F_i^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_i^\alpha - \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha(x^1, \dots, x^n) u^\beta$$

$$\delta_j F_i^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_{ij}^\alpha$$

$$v_{i,j}^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = - \sum_{\beta} u^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha u_j^\beta \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$

$$= - \sum_{\beta} (u^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha + \sum_{\gamma} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{j\gamma}^\beta u^\gamma) \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$



## Example: The curvature

$$F_i^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_i^\alpha - \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha(x^1, \dots, x^n) u^\beta$$

$$\delta_j F_i^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = u_{ij}^\alpha$$

$$v_{i,j}^\alpha(x^j, u^\alpha, u_i^\alpha) = - \sum_{\beta} u^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha + \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha u_j^\beta \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$

$$= - \sum_{\beta} (u^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha + \sum_{\gamma} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{j\gamma}^\beta u^\gamma) \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$

$$= - \sum_{\gamma} u^\gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\gamma}^\alpha + \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{j\gamma}^\beta \right) \pmod{F_i^\alpha}$$

## Example: The curvature

$$\delta_j F_i^\alpha - \delta_i F_j^\alpha = u_{ij}^\alpha - u_{ji}^\alpha = 0$$

## Example: The curvature

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_j F_i^\alpha - \delta_i F_j^\alpha &= u_{ij}^\alpha - u_{ji}^\alpha = 0 \\ D_j F_i^\alpha - D_i F_j^\alpha \pmod{F_i^\alpha} &= v_{i,j}^\alpha - v_{j,i}^\alpha \pmod{F_i^\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma} u^\gamma \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \Gamma_{i\gamma}^\alpha - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Gamma_{j\gamma}^\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta} \Gamma_{i\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{j\gamma}^\beta - \Gamma_{j\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{i\gamma}^\beta \right) \pmod{F_j^\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

In other words we can prolong the solution to the second order if:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} A_j - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} A_i - [A_i, A_j] = 0$$

## Another example

This time  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the first projection. And we take  $S^1$  defined the ideal generated by:

$$F_1(x^i, u, u_j) = u_1, \quad F_2(x^i, u, u_j) = x^1 u_2 + x^2 u_3 + \dots + x^{n-1} u_n$$

## Another example

This time  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the first projection. And we take  $S^1$  defined the ideal generated by:

$$F_1(x^j, u, u_j) = u_1, \quad F_2(x^j, u, u_j) = x^1 u_2 + x^2 u_3 + \dots + x^{n-1} u_n$$

so that:

$$\delta_j x^{j-1} F_1 = x^{j-1} u_{1,j}, \quad \delta_1 F_2 = x^1 u_{1,2} + x^2 u_{1,3} + \dots + x^{n-1} u_{1,n}$$

Whence  $\delta_1 F_2 - \sum_j \delta_j x^{j-1} F_1 = 0$ ;

## Another example

This time  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is the first projection. And we take  $S^1$  defined the ideal generated by:

$$F_1(x^j, u, u_j) = u_1, \quad F_2(x^j, u, u_j) = x^1 u_2 + x^2 u_3 + \dots + x^{n-1} u_n$$

so that:

$$\delta_j x^{j-1} F_1 = x^{j-1} u_{1,j}, \quad \delta_1 F_2 = x^1 u_{1,2} + x^2 u_{1,3} + \dots + x^{n-1} u_{1,n}$$

Whence  $\delta_1 F_2 - \sum_j \delta_j x^{j-1} F_1 = 0$ ; but:

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 F_2 - \sum_j D_j x^{j-1} F_1 &= u_2 + x^1 u_{1,2} + \dots + x^{n-1} u_{1,n} \\ &- (x^1 u_{1,2} + \dots + x^{n-1} u_{1,n}) \\ &= u_2 \end{aligned}$$

# Bibliography

B. Malgrange, Systèmes différentiels involutifs, *Panorama et Synthèses*, **19**, Société Mathématique de France, 2005, Paris.