

Identifying complete differential varieties

William Simmons

University of Illinois at Chicago

Kolchin Seminar in Differential Algebra

New York City, NY

March 9, 2012

Conventions and terminology

- In the differential setting, our fields are all DCF_0 . Otherwise they are algebraically closed.
- Our varieties V, W, \dots , are subsets of affine or projective space defined by appropriate polynomial equations.
- All Kolchin-closed subsets (δ -varieties) we consider are defined over a fixed arbitrary DCF_0 denoted by \mathcal{F} . We denote by \mathcal{K} any DCF_0 containing \mathcal{F} .
- A *finite-rank δ -variety* is one that has dimension $< \omega$ for any/all of the usual ordinal-valued ranks on DCF_0 .
- Let R be a δ -ring, $K \supseteq R$ a δ -field, L a δ -field and $\varphi : R \rightarrow L$ a δ -homomorphism. We say R is a *maximal δ -ring* (with respect to K) if φ does not extend to a δ -homomorphism with domain contained in K but strictly containing R and codomain a δ -field.

Compactness

A topological space X is *compact* if every open cover of X admits a finite subcover.

- *Example:* Closed, bounded intervals of \mathbb{R} (by the l.u.b. axiom).
- Compact subsets of Hausdorff spaces are closed, and being compact is most valuable when a space is Hausdorff.
- *Fact:* Algebraic varieties are compact (algebraic geometers say *quasicompact*), but generally not Hausdorff.

Identifying
complete
differential
varieties

William
Simmons

Algebraic
varieties and
completeness

δ -varieties
and δ -
completeness

How do we
identify
 δ -complete
varieties?

The valuative
criterion in
action

Where to
from here?

Completeness and basic properties

Definition

An algebraic variety V is *complete* if for every variety W the projection $\pi_2 : V \times W \rightarrow W$ is a closed map (takes closed sets to closed sets).

- Completeness is a local property.
- Closed subsets of complete varieties are complete.
- Products of complete varieties are complete.
- If $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of varieties and V is complete, then $\varphi(V)$ is complete.
- Morphisms of complete irreducible varieties into affine space are constant.

The fundamental theorem of elimination theory

Example

Infinite affine varieties are not complete: Consider the second projection of $Z := xy - 1 = 0$. The image $\pi_2(Z) = \{y \neq 0\}$, which is not closed in \mathbb{A}^1 .

Fact: a complete subset of \mathbb{P}^n must be projectively closed. In fact,

Theorem

Projective varieties are complete.

Proof.

Projective Nullstellensatz + linear algebra. □



δ -completeness

δ -completeness of an affine or projective δ -variety is defined by the obvious generalization. Most properties carry over to the differential case:

- δ -completeness is a local property.
- δ -complete varieties are projectively closed.
- Kolchin-closed subsets of δ -complete varieties are δ -complete.
- Products of δ -complete varieties are δ -complete.
- If $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism of δ -varieties and V is δ -complete, then $\varphi(V)$ is δ -complete.
- **BUT** morphisms of irreducible δ -complete varieties into affine space need not be constant.

Pong

Kolchin's example: \mathbb{P}^1 is not complete.

Theorem

(Pong) Only finite-rank δ -varieties can be δ -complete.

Theorem

(Pong) A δ -complete variety is isomorphic to a δ -complete variety contained in \mathbb{A}^1 .

So it suffices to consider subsets of \mathbb{A}^1 ; for convenience we usually work with \mathbb{P}^1 .

Freitag has generalized much of Pong's paper to the case of several commuting derivations (i.e., $DCF_{0,m}$).

Positive quantifier elimination

Positive formulas are those that contain no negation symbols.

Theorem

(van den Dries) Let T be an \mathcal{L} -theory and $\varphi(\bar{x})$ an \mathcal{L} -formula. Then φ is equivalent modulo T to a positive quantifier-free formula iff for all $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \models T$, substructures $A \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, $\bar{a} \in A$, and \mathcal{L} -homomorphisms $f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ we have $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi(\bar{a}) \implies \mathcal{N} \models \varphi(f(\bar{a}))$.

Proof.

Slick choice of auxiliary theory T' + positive atomic diagram + compactness. □

Identifying complete differential varieties

William Simmons

Algebraic varieties and completeness

δ -varieties and δ -completeness

How do we identify δ -complete varieties?

The valuative criterion in action

Where to from here?



(Part of) a valuative criterion

Let $p = (p_0 : p_1) \in V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$, and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq R \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ be a maximal δ -ring. We say p is in R if either p_0/p_1 or p_1/p_0 is.

Theorem

(Pong, S) Let V be a δ -subvariety of \mathbb{P}^1 . If for every $p \in V$ and maximal δ -ring R we have $p \in R$, then V is δ -complete.

Proof.

Use van den Dries' PQE to show the formula $\varphi(x_0, x_1, \bar{y})$

$$(\exists x_0, x_1)((\wedge_i P_i(x_0, x_1, \bar{y}) = 0) \wedge (\wedge_j Q_j(x_0, x_1) = 0) \wedge (x_0 = 1 \vee x_1 = 1))$$

is equivalent to a positive quantifier-free formula. □

Definition

An element a of a δ -field K is *monic* over a δ -ring $A \subseteq K$ if a satisfies a δ -polynomial equation $x^n + f(x) = 0$, where $f(x) \in A\{x\}$ and $n > \text{total degree of terms in } f$.

Facts:

- Derivatives of monic elements are monic.
- Maximal δ -rings are local differential rings.
- If (R, \mathfrak{m}) is maximal, a is monic over R iff $1/a \notin \mathfrak{m}$.
- $a \in K \setminus R$ iff $1 \in \mathfrak{m}\{a\}$.

Theorem

Maximal δ -rings are integrally closed.

Theorem

Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a maximal δ -ring. If a satisfies a linear differential equation over R and $1/a \notin R$, then $a \in R$.

Proof.

Show a is integral over R . This requires monicness of a, a', \dots and order-reducing substitutions given by the linear differential equation. □

First fruits

Identifying
complete
differential
varieties

William
Simmons

Algebraic
varieties and
completeness

δ -varieties
and δ -
completeness

How do we
identify
 δ -complete
varieties?

The valuative
criterion in
action

Where to
from here?

Proposition

(S) The projective closure in \mathbb{P}^1 of a linear δ -variety is δ -complete.

Proof.

Morrison's result + the valuative criterion. □

Corollary

When using the valuative criterion, we may suppose $a^{(n)} \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some good examples

Proposition

(S) *The projective closures in \mathbb{P}^1 of the following are δ -complete:*

- $Q(x)x' = P(x)$, where Q, P are ordinary polynomials
- $x'' = x^3$
- $xx'' = x'$

Proof.

Valuative criterion + integral closedness of R + a lot of pencil lead. □

Identifying
complete
differential
varieties

William
Simmons

Algebraic
varieties and
completeness

δ -varieties
and δ -
completeness

How do we
identify
 δ -complete
varieties?

The valuative
criterion in
action

Where to
from here?

Known to be δ -complete

Identifying
complete
differential
varieties

William
Simmons

Algebraic
varieties and
completeness

δ -varieties
and δ -
completeness

How do we
identify
 δ -complete
varieties?

The valuative
criterion in
action

Where to
from here?

General classes:

- Linear: all
- $x^{(n)} = P(x^{(n-1)})$
- Equations algebraic in $\mathcal{F}[x^{(n)}]$

First-order:

- $Q(x)x' = P(x)$
- $(x')^n = x + \alpha$

Second-order:

- $x'' = x^3$ (and friends)
- $xx'' = x'$

Where to from here?

Hope/Guess

All finite-rank, projectively closed δ -varieties are δ -complete.

- Refine 1-preserving algorithms, understand integrality in this setting better
- Generalize proof of algebraic completeness or show this cannot be done
- Direct approach: analyze QE, use Rosenfeld-Groebner algorithm, etc.
- Use the preceding to look for counterexamples
- Look at interesting δ -varieties like the Manin kernel.
- Algebraic D -varieties

Identifying
complete
differential
varieties

William
Simmons

Algebraic
varieties and
completeness

δ -varieties
and δ -
completeness

How do we
identify
 δ -complete
varieties?

The valuative
criterion in
action

Where to
from here?

Thanks for listening!



References

- P. Blum, *Extending differential specializations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 471-474.
- S.D. Morrison, *Extensions of differential places*, Amer. J. Math. **100** (1978), 245-261.
- S.D. Morrison, *Differential specializations in integral and algebraic extensions*, Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), 1381-1399.
- W.Y. Pong, *Complete sets in differentially closed fields*, J. Algebra **224** (2000), 454-466.
- J.F. Ritt, *On a type of algebraic differential manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **48** (1940), 542-552.
- L. van den Dries, *Some applications of a model theoretic fact to (semi-) algebraic geometry*, Indag. Math. **44** (1982), 397-401.